	Case 2:21-cv-00916-KJM-CSK Docume	nt 62 Filed 08/23/24 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	FELIPE POLANCO DIAZ,	No. 2:21-cv-00916 KJM CSK P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	LYNCH, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On April 17, 2024, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which were	
21	served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. Plaintiff filed objections to the	
23	findings and recommendations.	
24	In accordance with the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Local Rule 304, this	
25	court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of this case. Having reviewed the file, the court finds the	
26	findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis. The	
27	court considered plaintiff's objections and found they do not clearly address the magistrate	
28	judge's findings and recommendations nor show plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief. 1	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-00916-KJM-CSK Document 62 Filed 08/23/24 Page 2 of 2

However, the court notes plaintiff is not precluded from seeking a preliminary injunction in the future. In any future motion for a preliminary injunction, plaintiff must clearly "establish that he is likely to succeed on the merits, that he is likely to suffer irreparable harm in the absence of preliminary relief, that the balance of equities tips in his favor, and that an injunction is in the public interest." *Winter v. Nat. Res. Def. Council, Inc.*, 555 U.S. 7, 20 (2008).

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations, ECF No. 51, are adopted in full;
- 2. Plaintiff's motion for injunctive relief, ECF No. 43, is denied as moot; and
- 3. This matter is referred back to the assigned magistrate judge for all further pretrial proceedings.

DATED: August 23, 2024.

CHIEF UN

D STATES DISTRICT JUDGE